

Project Manager Report Approval Form

Purpose: Document public dollar investment to protect and restore healthy watersheds and natural habitats that support thriving communities and strong economies.

Date of Report: _____ **Grant #** _____ **Project Manager** _____
Report type: PISR # _____ Progress # _____ Quarterly # _____ Other: _____

CHECK LIST	If NO, Explain
<p>1. Review requirements noted in Special Conditions (Exh B) of the grant agreement to identify additional and/or different reporting requirements.</p> <p>Did Grantee meet these requirements?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Progress Report indicates grantee will not be able to meet project objectives described in grant scope of work.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PISR special conditions were not met.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> <p>Explain Why:</p>
<p>2. Review PISR requirements noted in Exhibit D of the grant agreement.</p> <p>Did Grantee meet these requirements?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> PISR report does not provide sufficient documentation to determine the status of OWEB investment.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> <p>Explain Why:</p>
<p>3. Photo points:</p> <p>Did Grantee fulfill the requirements for photo point monitoring (i.e. before and after photos located at consistent photo points, including a current photo)?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Photo points do not include all major project components.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Photo points do not include project locations on each landowner site.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Grantee is unable to locate photo point(s).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Grantee is unable to access photo point location.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> <p>Explain Why:</p>
<p>4. Other requirement(s):</p>	<p>Explain Why:</p>

REPORT APPROVAL

- Progress report** demonstrates a trajectory for success in meeting project objectives. If not, report sufficiently indicates Grantee is taking action to increase likelihood for project success.
- PISR** sufficiently describes project status to determine OWEB investment is in place and functioning as intended. If not, report sufficiently documents why, so as to inform future OWEB decisions.

Justification: Briefly explain how you resolved issues documented in the checklist and/or attach relevant communications. If you need more room, continue on reverse side.

Report Approved By: _____ **Date** _____
Project Manager Signature



Small Grant Program Year 2 Status Report

Due 2 years after the date of the Project Completion Report. Please check [OWEB's Grant Management System](#) (OGMS) for this deadline.

Project Name: Eola Ridge Park Riparain Restoration
Grantee Organization: Polk SWCD

Project #: 11-16-008
Phone: 503-623-9680

Project Description and Results

1) Describe the status of the project implemented, including a description of the site condition after two years, and any maintenance and/or monitoring performed. 2) Describe any challenges or successes in maintaining the project results. 3) Also, if there has been any publicity or educational/outreach activities associated with the project in the intervening two years, please discuss and attach relevant articles.

1.) The Eola Ridge Riparian Restoration project continues to be a success. The site is heavily dominated by native trees, shrubs, and forbs, (Oregon ash, willow, alder, Oregon grape, nootka rose, yarrow, lupine, etc.) and there is only slight regrowth of invasive and noxious weeds (blackberry, ivy, scotch broom, etc.) that could be easily maintained through yearly maintenance by the City of Salem, and the homeowners associated that was originally involved in the project. Compared to the condition of the site prior to project implementation, the expenditures of OWEB funding to aid in this particular restoration have undoubtedly contributed to improved ecological function and condition, serving to benefit the surrounding homeowners and to the public, that appear to heavily use this park.

2.) The challenges facing this particular project are similar to those faced by most restoration projects undertaken by SWCD's, OPRD, etc. in urban settings, which is the degradation and destruction of native plantings and habitats at the hands of the public/homeless. Upon examination of the project area for the completion of this monitoring report, there were incredibly obvious trails throughout the native plantings, remnants of an illegal campsite, litter and garbage, and evidence of larger trees and shrubs that had been cut with a machete (or the like) and burned for a warming fire. Although this is to be expected, it is disheartening nonetheless. As noted in the original PCR, the installation of quality signage throughout the project area would have likely contributed to less disturbance of the project area by the public, by highlighting the efforts and benefits that this project has brought.

3.) There have been no outreach or education events related to this particular project in the 2 years since its implementation. However, as indicated on the original PCR, there was a high level of volunteer involvement in the planting portion of the project, and there was at least one newspaper article written discussing the project.

Signature: Jackson Morgan
Print Name: Jackson Morgan
Email: jackson.morgan@polkswcd.com

Digitally signed by Jackson Morgan
DN: cn=Jackson Morgan, o=Polk SWCD, ou,
email=jackson.morgan@polkswcd.com, c=US
Date: 2020.06.19 15:56:27 -0700

Date: 6/19/2020
Phone: 503-623-9680 x 107

Required Attachments

2-6 COLOR photos with captions showing project site 2 years after completion. For photo instructions see [OWEB Guide to Photo Point Monitoring](#).

Copies

Send copies to your Small Grant Team record keeper, the OWEB Small Grant Project Manager, and your OWEB Regional Program Representative (electronic copy via email).



Pre-implementation riparian area, standing adjacent to stream, looking east down gradient towards point G. 17 November 2015, 10:14:55.



Post-implementation riparian area, standing adjacent to stream, looking east down gradient towards point G. Note native plantings and native seed/forb mix. 19 January 2018, 12:59:41.



Post-implementation riparian area, standing adjacent to stream, looking east down gradient towards point G. Note health and vigor of native plantings and native seed/forb mix. 19 June 2020.



Pre-implementation scotch broom infestation between upland and riparian planting areas. 17 November 2015, 10:11:41.



Point D following manual scotch broom removal and other site prep activities. 1 December 2016, 10:37:41.



Point D, 6 months following planting and seeding. 14 June 2017, 09:11:38.



Point D, post-implementation. Scotch broom presence reduced by 95%. Now able to be managed by volunteers who live near the park. 19 January 2018, 12:48:36.



Point D, post-implementation. Scotch broom presence reduced by 95%. Now able to be managed by volunteers who live near the park. Note height, health, and vigor of native plantings in both foreground and background 19 June 2020.



Pre-implementation overlook of riparian area, standing in upland planting area. 17 November 2015, 10:06:32.



Mid-implementation overlook of riparian area, standing in upland planting area. Following blackberry clearing and site prep spray, before planting. 1 December 2016, 10:37:13.



Post-implementation riparian area, overlook of riparian area, standing in upland planting area. Note native planting survival. 14 June 2017, 09:09:53.



Post-implementation riparian area, overlook of riparian area, standing in upland planting area. Note native seed/forb mix along stream. 19 January 2018, 12:47:31.



Post-implementation riparian area, overlook of riparian area, standing in upland planting area. Note native seed/forb mix along stream, and health/vigor of native trees and shrubs, invasive presence slightly higher in this portion of project area. 19 June 2020..



Pre-implementation riparian area, standing adjacent to stream, looking down gradient (east). 17 November 2015, 10:07:33.



Mid-implementation riparian area, standing well back from Photopoint A, looking down gradient (east). After blackberry cutting and site prep spray, before planting. 3 December 2016, 10:37:50.



Post-implementation riparian area, standing adjacent to stream, looking down gradient (east). All herbaceous vegetation taller than grass is native. 14 June 2017, 08:57:42.



Post-implementation riparian area, standing adjacent to stream, looking down gradient (east). Note native grass and forb mix providing stormwater filtering, and erosion protection. 19 January 2018, 12:44:32.



Post-implementation riparian area, standing adjacent to stream, looking down gradient (east). Note native grass and forb mix providing stormwater filtering, and erosion protection, and health and vigor of native tree and shrub plantings.
19 June 2020.